Case 1:19-mj-00277-NYW Document 9 Filed 12/13/19 USDC Colorado Page 1 of 3

Case 2:20-cv-00003-BMM-KLD Document 21-1 Filed 03/04/20 Page 1 of 3

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Case No. 19-mj-00277-NYW
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
MATTHEW BRENT GOETTSCHE,
Defendant.
ORDER OF DETENTION

THIS MATTER came before the Court for a detention hearing on December 13, 2019. Present were the following: David Tonini and Jamie Hoxie, Assistant United States Attorneys; Patrick Ridley and Kristen Frost, counsel for the defendant; and the defendant. The Court reviewed the Pretrial Services Report and considered the comments of counsel.

The Court has concluded that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant and the safety of the community, based upon the attached findings.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant is to be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult confidentially with defense counsel; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon order of this Court or on request of an attorney for the United States of America, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver defendant to the United States Marshal for the purposes of an appearance in connection with this proceeding.

DATED and ENTERED this 13th day of December, 2019.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Kristen L. Mix
U.S. Magistrate Judge
Kristen L. Mix

Case 1:19-mj-00277-NYW Document 9 Filed 12/13/19 USDC Colorado Page 2 of 3

Case 2:20-cv-00003-BMM-KLD Document 21-1 Filed 03/04/20 Page 2 of 3

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Case No. 19-mj-00277-NYW

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff.

٧.

MATTHEW BRENT GOETTSCHE,

Defendant.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSION OF LAW and REASONS FOR ORDER OF DETENTION

THIS MATTER came before the Court for a detention hearing on December 13, 2019. The Court has taken judicial notice of the Pretrial Services Report and considered the comments of counsel.

In order to sustain a motion for detention, the government must establish that (a) there is no condition or combination of conditions which could be imposed in connection with pretrial release that would reasonably insure the defendant's presence for court proceedings; or (b) there is no condition or combination of conditions which could be imposed in connection with pretrial release that would reasonably insure the safety of any other person or the community. The former element must be established by a preponderance of the evidence, while the latter requires proof by clear and convincing evidence.

The Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g), directs the Court to consider the following factors in determining whether there are conditions of release that reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any other person and the community:

- (1) [t]he nature and circumstances of the offense charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence or involves a narcotic drug;
- (2) the weight of the evidence against the person;
- (3) the history and characteristics of the person, including
 - (A) the person's character, physical and mental condition, family ties, employment, financial resources, length of residence in the community, community ties, past conduct, history relating to drug or alcohol abuse, criminal history, and record concerning appearance at court proceedings; and
 - (B) whether at the time of the current offense or arrest, the person was on probation, on parole, or on other release pending trial, sentencing, appeal, or completion of sentence for an offense under Federal, State or local law;

Case 2:20-cv-00003-BMM-KLD Document 21-1 Filed 03/04/20 Page 3 of 3

and

the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or the community that would be posed by the person's release.

In making my findings of fact, I have taken judicial notice of the information set forth in the entire court file and have considered the comments of counsel. Weighing the statutory factors set forth in the Bail Reform Act, I find the following:

First, the defendant has been charged with violating 18 U.S.C. § 1349, conspiracy to commit wire fraud, and 18 U.S.C. § 371, conspiracy to offer and sell unregistered securities.

Second, the government made the following proffer: defendant and co-conspirators created and ran a Ponzi scheme based on sales of shares of pooled investments in cryptocurrency mining. In connection with the scheme, the business took in approximately \$722 million in Bitcoin from investors, and defendant had access to cold storage wallets that have transferred at least \$246 million in cryptocurrency. Defendant owns a private airplane, several properties around the world including an island in Belize, two properties in Costa Rica and an interest in a condominium in St. Kitts. Between May of 2016 and November 30 of 2019, defendant traveled internationally to at least 14 countries, including Costa Rica, Belize, Mexico, England, Canada, Germany, the Bahamas, Jamaica, Macedonia, Iceland, Japan, South Korea, Dubai and Hong Kong. Defendant applied for citizenship in St. Kitt's and recently supplemented his application, then withdrew it after his arrest. In the course of the scheme, defendant authored emails and other messages reflecting his directions to falsify business information to customers and auditors. Defendant engaged in obstructive behavior at the time of execution of a search warrant at his home and his arrest.

After considering all of the factors set forth in the Bail Reform Act and the offenses charged in this case, I find that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant and the safety of the community. In support of that finding, I note the factors listed above, as well as the lengthy sentence that could be imposed if the defendant is found guilty of the charged offense.

DATED and ENTERED this 13th day of December, 2019.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Kristen L. Mix
U.S. Magistrate Judge
Kristen L. Mix